

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

SAKURA SOPHIA GASSER,
Petitioner,
vs.
UNITED STATES OF AMER.
Respondent.

Case No. 2:15-cv-01288-JAD-NJK

ORDER DISMISSING AND CLOSING CASE

10 Sakura Sophia Gasser has filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and submitted a
11 form 28 U.S.C. § 2241 habeas-corpus petition. The application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is
12 granted. However, the purported petition is being dismissed under both the Local Rules of Special
13 Proceedings and the Rules Governing Habeas Cases, Rule 4.

14 First, petitioner has failed to provide her address as required by LSR 2-2. A note on the
15 docket states that petitioner “refused to provide any contact information and said she would come to
16 the court weekly to check status of case” (*see* staff note on docket dated July 8, 2015). On the
17 petition, petitioner only wrote as an address: “nationwide and likely global.” ECF 1-1 at1. This is
18 unacceptable.

19 The petition must also be dismissed with prejudice for failure to state a claim upon which
20 relief may be granted. Not only is petitioner not in custody, but the petition is factually frivolous.
21 The petition indicates that it challenges “no formal court sentence” and alludes, without explanation,
22 to “thousands of felonies committed against victim including murder attempts with fraud,
23 malfeasance . . . health (death) case . . . slow kill/soft kill. . . . ongoing acute terrorism began late
24 2012.” *Id.* at 1-2. It references possibly a case in Maricopa Superior Court, as well as the Federal
25 Trade Commission and the Phoenix Homicide Department, again without any real explanation. *Id.*
26 at 2, 6. In short, the petition sets forth no discernible allegations and can be described as delusional
27 and factually frivolous. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed with prejudice for failure to state a
28 claim for which relief may be granted.

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for leave to proceed *in forma*
2 *pauperis* (ECF 1) is **GRANTED**. The Clerk is directed to detach and file the petition (ECF 1-1).

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this petition is **DISMISSED** with prejudice for failure
4 to state a claim for which relief may be granted.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability is denied because jurists of
6 reason would not find the dismissal of this petition incorrect or debatable.

7 The Clerk of Court is directed to **ENTER JUDGMENT** accordingly and close this case.

8 Dated this 7th day of October, 2015.



9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28